## Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	UNITE	D STA	ATES 1	DISTR	ICT (	COU	RT
NΩ	ORTHE	DN D	I GTP I	СТ ОБ	CAI	IEOE	> N

## SAN JOSE DIVISION

APPLE INC					
	Λ1	$\mathbf{DDI}$	ъ.	INT	$\sim$
	ΑI	771	. F.	II V	

Plaintiff,

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., et Defendants.

Case No. 12-CV-00630-LHK

## ORDER DENYING MOTION TO RELATE

Re: Dkt. No. 2151

Plaintiff ZeroClick, LLC ("ZeroClick") has filed a motion to relate cases pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-12. ZeroClick seeks to relate ZeroClick, LLC v. Apple Inc., Case No. 15-CV-04417, to the above-captioned case. Case No. 12-CV-00630, ECF No. 2151.

Under Civil Local Rule 3-12(a), actions are related when: "(1) [t]he actions concern substantially the same parties, property, transaction or event; and (2) [i]t appears likely that there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if the cases are conducted before different Judges." The Court finds that this standard is not met. The ZeroClick action concerns U.S. Patent 8,549,443 and U.S. Patent 7,818,691. Case No. 12-CV-00630, ECF No. 2151 at 2. Neither of these patents was asserted in the above-captioned case, and issues of validity, claim construction, and infringement of the '443 and '691 patents were not

Case No. 12-CV-00630-LHK ORDER DENYING MOTION TO RELATE

## Case 3:15-cv-04417-JST Document 15 Filed 10/02/15 Page 2 of 2

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
iia	12
liforr	13
trict of Ca	14
	15
n Dis	16
rtheri	17
No	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27

28

United States District Court

addressed in the above-captioned case. Thus, there is no risk of "unduly burdensome duplication
of labor and expense or conflicting results" if these cases are not related. Accordingly,
ZeroClick's Motion to Relate is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 2, 2015

LUCY H. KOH United States District Judge